

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
COURT OF APPEALS

---

In the Matter of CHERISH HOPKINS, Minor.

---

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

SHAWANNA HOPKINS,

Respondent-Appellant.

---

UNPUBLISHED  
September 1, 2009

No. 290585  
Oakland Circuit Court  
Family Division  
LC No. 08-751908-NA

Before: M. J. Kelly, P.J., and K. F. Kelly and Shapiro, JJ

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent appeals as of right from a circuit court order terminating her parental rights to the minor child pursuant to MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i), (g), (i), (j), and (l). We affirm.

Respondent does not dispute that a statutory ground for termination was proven by clear and convincing legally admissible evidence. MCR 3.977(E); *In re Utrera*, 281 Mich App 1, 16-17; 761 NW2d 253 (2008). She contends only that the trial court erred in finding that termination of her parental rights was in the child's best interests. MCL 712A.19b(5). We disagree. Although respondent began participating in services, the evidence showed that she did not derive any substantial benefit from them. The evidence also showed that, although respondent was attending family visits, the child was not strongly bonded to her and exhibited "stranger anxiety" toward her. In addition, respondent had not maintained stable housing and lacked sufficient resources with which to support the child. Under the circumstances, the trial court did not clearly err in finding that termination of respondent's parental rights was in the child's best interests. *In re Trejo*, 462 Mich 341, 356-357; 612 NW2d 407 (2000).

Affirmed.

/s/ Michael J. Kelly  
/s/ Kirsten Frank Kelly  
/s/ Douglas B. Shapiro